

## Supplemental Materials

**Table S1 Summary values peaks gesture kinematics**

	Velocity z (pos. peaks)	Velocity z (neg. peaks)	Speed	Acceleration (positive peaks)	Acceleration (neg. peaks)
	<i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	<i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	<i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	<i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	<i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )
<b>Overall</b>	7.60 cm/s (8.80)	-7.40 cm/s (8.39)	25.78 cm/s (14.20)	0.46 cm/s <sup>2</sup> (0.44)	-0.43 cm/s <sup>2</sup> (0.41)
<b>Low peaks</b> 0-33% quantile	1.56 cm/s (1.15)	-1.52 cm/s (1.13)	14.11 cm/s (4.39)	0.11 cm/s <sup>2</sup> 0.07	-0.10 cm/s <sup>2</sup> 0.07
<b>Middle peaks</b> 33-66% quantile	5.46 cm/s (2.24)	-5.22 cm/s (2.38)	23.45 cm/s (5.92)	0.36 cm/s <sup>2</sup> (0.12)	-0.34 cm/s <sup>2</sup> (0.12)
<b>High peaks</b> 66-100% quantile	16.18 cm/s (10.76)	-15.61 cm/s (9.82)	40.04 cm/s (14.41)	0.91 cm/s <sup>2</sup> (0.48)	-0.85 cm/s <sup>2</sup> (0.44)

**Table S2. Summary vocal acoustics during and without gesturing**

	Performer 1 <i>female</i> <i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	Performer 2 <i>female</i> <i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	Performer 3 <i>male</i> <i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	Performer 4 <i>male</i> <i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )	Overall <i>M</i> ( <i>SD</i> )
<i>F0</i> (Hz)					
<b>During gesture</b>	296.41(82.24)	312.40 (85.30)	201.50(57.21)	207.94 (54.51)	239.88 (83.02)
<b>No gesture</b>	308.22 (82.30)	298.45 (80.02)	211.23(60.52)	184.89 (48.81)	245.87(83.36)
$ \Delta F0 $ (Hz/s)					
<b>During gesture</b>	228.71 (289.76)	316.86 (410.85)	142.15 204.62)	146.81 (203.16)	192.60 (282.14)
<b>No gesture</b>	241.17 (309.80)	232.815 297.56)	134.72 (199.25)	77.43(134.49)	172.00 (249.50)
<i>ENV</i> (a.u)					
<b>During gesture</b>	0.212 (0.148)	0.218 (0.147)	0.178 (0.118)	0.148 (0.128)	0.182 (0.135)
<b>No gesture</b>	0.179 (0.160)	0.131 (0.162)	0.134 (0.144)	0.049 (0.087)	0.139 (0.150)
$ \Delta ENV $ (a.u./s)					
<b>During gesture</b>	0.593 (0.834)	0.786 (1.085)	0.478 (0.781)	0.506 (0.815)	0.568 (0.866)
<b>No gesture</b>	0.545 (0.850)	0.596 (1.194)	0.388 (0.781)	0.227 (0.676)	0.440 (0.856)

*Note.* The amplitude envelope is rescaled from 0 to 1 so these are arbitrary units (a.u.) and are not directly comparable between performers.

**Table S3. Generalized additive modeling coefficients**

Models	Parametric effects Peak magnitude	Parametric effects <i>p</i> -val	Smooth Components	F [edf, ref.df]	<i>p</i> -val	Deviance explained
ΔENV  ~ velocity z (positive peaks)	Low vs. High: -0.00017	<.001	Recentered time:low	26.8 [8.57, 8.95]	< .001	6.08%
	Middle vs. High: -0.00010		Recentered time: middle	79.3 [8.72, 8.98]	< .001	
			Recentered time: high	103.6 [8.95, 8.98]	< .001	
			random(Perform., Raga)	1379.4 [27.98, 28.00]	< .001	
ΔENV  ~ velocity z (negative peaks)	Low vs. High: -0.00016	<.001	Recentered time: low	35.7 [6.79, 9.00]	< .001	4.38%
	Middle vs. High: -0.00006		Recentered time: middle	18.2 [8.44, 8.91]	< .001	
			Recentered time: high	41.3 [8.91, 8.99]	< .001	
			random(Perform., Raga)	1135.8 [27.98, 28.00]	< .001	
ΔENV  ~ speed (positive peaks)	Low vs. High: -0.00047	<.001	Recentered time: low	129.9 [7.92, 8.69]	< .001	5.31%
	Middle vs. High: -0.00009		Recentered time: middle	142.9 [8.27, 8.85]	< .001	
			Recentered time: high	145.0 [7.92, 8.69]	< .001	
			random(Perform., Raga)	1139.6 [27.98, 28.00]	< .001	
ΔENV  ~ acceleration (positive peaks)	Low vs. High: -0.00038	<.001	Recentered time: low	93.58 [8.88, 8.99]	< .001	5.29%
	Middle vs. High: -0.00007		Recentered time: middle	278.74 [8.77, 9.00]	< .001	
			Recentered time: high	544.94 [8.77, 8.99]	< .001	
			random(Perform., Raga)	1420.5 [27.98, 28.00]	< .001	
ΔENV  ~ acceleration (negative peaks)	Low vs. High: -0.00015	<.001	Recentered time: low	44.38 [7.47, 8.42]	< .001	5.43%
	Middle vs. High: -0.00007		Recentered time: middle	271.8 [7.74, 8.61]	< .001	
			Recentered time: high	274.8 [8.85, 8.99]	< .001	
			random(Perform., Raga)	1372.9 [27.98, 28.00]	< .001	

**Table S4. Performance ML classifier raga**

Seed init.	Accuracy	Accuracy 95%CI[lower, upper]	Kappa	p-value Accuracy				
1	15.38%	[1.92%, 45.45%]	.006	.617				
2	23.08%	[0.50%, 53.81%]	.115	.323				
3	15.39%	[0.19%, 45.45%]	.034	.617				
<b>Mean</b>	17.95%	[2.96%, 48.24%]	.052	.519				
GT CL	<i>Anandab hairavi</i>	<i>Atana</i>	<i>Bhairavi</i>	<i>Bilahari</i>	<i>Kalyani</i>	<i>Shankar abharanam</i>	<i>Todi</i>	<i>Varaali</i>
<i>Anandab hairavi</i>	17%	50%	0	3/6	0	0	0	0
<i>Atana</i>	33%	17%	0	17%	0	0	33%	33%
<i>Bhairavi</i>	17%	0	33%	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Bilahari</i>	0	0	67%	0	17%	0	17%	33%
<i>Kalyani</i>	17%	0	0	17%	0	0	33%	0
<i>Shankar abharanam</i>	0	0	0	0	0	100%	17%	0
<i>Todi</i>	0	17%	0	17%	67%	0	0	0
<i>Varaali</i>	17%	17%	0	0	17%	0	0	33%

Note. GT = ground truth, CL = classification. Given that the dataset has not many data points, random initialization of the testing and training set can yield different results. Therefore we repeat the procedure with different seed initializations to assess reproducibility within the dataset. GT = ground truth, CL = classification. The average accuracy performance was about 18% which is not statistically reliable against what could be expected from chance guessing.

**Table S5. Performance ML classifier performer**

Seed init.	Accuracy	Accuracy 95%CI[lower, upper]	Kap pa	P-value Accuracy	Combined classifications (CL) relative to ground truth (GT)				
					GT CL	p1	p2	p3	p4
1	64.29%	[35.14%, 87.24%]	.524	.006					
2	50.00%	[28.86%, 82.33%]	.429	.024	p1	<b>67%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17%</b>
3	35.71%	[12.75%, 64.49%]	.125	.369	p2	<b>22%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>
					p3	<b>11%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>66%</b>
<i>Mean</i>	52.38%	[25.58%, 78.15%]	.359	.133	p4	<b>0</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>8%</b>

*Note.* GT = ground truth, CL = classification. The average accuracy performance was about 52% which was statistically different against chance guessing for two of the three attempts, but not statistically reliable on average. Thus while we should be careful in interpreting these performances, it is clear that performer rather than raga performance seems to capture more the variability in the data.